


Invention portfolio

Stage-based investment grounded in available evidence



Each brief explains the buyer problem, the documentary evidence reviewed, the missing proof and the next fundable milestone. Budgets are planning assumptions. They are not quotations, asset valuations or promises of returns.

Targeted review • filing status unverified

Scope and verification

24 families have received targeted research. No complete patentability or freedom-to-operate opinion has been established for these 24 families in this project; this does not mean the inventions are invalid. 50 relevant NotebookLM notebooks were identified; eight additional projects still need reconciliation with this inventory. Both accounts were accessed; exhaustive review of their sources remains outstanding. No filing is presented as officially confirmed.

24

Documented families

8

NotebookLM projects awaiting reconciliation

1

Technical evidence

2

Claims review

3

Buyer pilot

4

Licence or assignment

Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Before filing or assigning rights

Match receipts to the actual filed text; verify inventors and assignments; document disclosures; compare claims with prior art; examine freedom to operate separately; agree on territories and deadlines with qualified patent counsel.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Documented families

B001	ASL NeuroSplice	Research prototype
B002	STRIA / Dynamic Code Security	Scope needs clarification
B003	SacredCode Shannon	Documented concept
B004	Kavanah / MATBG	Exploratory research
B005	LIA	Software prototype
B006	TerraMind Omnibus	Architecture and components
B007	Evolutrix	Rust prototype
B008	Antimatrix	Partial Rust prototype
B009	Tzimtzum / NovaKey	Prototype with simulation
B010	Neuro-Halakha	Exploratory concept
B011	Petek Protocol	Exploratory hypothesis
B012	DreamOS V5	Architecture concept
B013	AETHER-Q	Early exploratory research
B014	DCS DreamAI	Documentation and partial demonstration
B015	NovaVoice	Integration requiring benchmarking
B016	Acoustic levitation	Physical concept
B017	Etz Chaim	Existing local system
B018	Helios Router	Concept and diligence dossier
B019	Adaptive Mirror DJ	Partial functional demonstrator
B020	Nova-Physarum	Prepared specification
EXT01	ORIA / Or	Active local service
EXT02	Nova Command	Operational code requiring qualification
EXT03	DAG Ledger / PoSH / VDF	Rust prototype
EXT04	FHE Internet Gates	Architecture idea

NotebookLM projects awaiting reconciliation

These names appear in separate notebooks. They are not counted as independent applications; no budget or filing status is inferred from a notebook title.

NovalD	Name identified — scope and relationship still to verify
NeurospliceX	Name identified — scope and relationship still to verify
BehaviorGraph	Name identified — scope and relationship still to verify
VoiceID	Name identified — scope and relationship still to verify
HiveASL	Name identified — scope and relationship still to verify
DeepExecutionGuard	Name identified — scope and relationship still to verify
WatchdogCascade	Name identified — scope and relationship still to verify
LeadMachine	Name identified — scope and relationship still to verify

ASL NeuroSplice

Neural computing architecture aimed at using GPU memory more efficiently.

Research prototype · Filing not verified

Buyer need

GPU inference operators need to reduce memory requirements and cost per request.

Available evidence

A Python prototype and a specification are available.

What remains to be demonstrated

Memory savings, throughput and output quality measured together on real models.

ASL NeuroSplice

Market and alternatives

FlashAttention and NVIDIA KV-cache management already improve inference and memory use. Their existence neither proves nor disproves the specific ASL approach.

Potential buyers

GPU hosting providers and inference teams

Next fundable milestone

Have an independent team reproduce a comparative benchmark.

Decision criterion

Proceed with measurement and a pilot. Technology licensing requires demonstrated results.

1

Technical evidence

2

Claims review

3

Buyer pilot

4

Licence or assignment

Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

ASL NeuroSplice

\$17,104 – \$39,911

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$10,263
High scenario	\$25,087

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$5,131
High scenario	\$11,403

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,710
High scenario	\$3,421

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Dao et al. — FlashAttention. 2022](#)

[NVIDIA — KV-cache documentation](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

STRIA / Dynamic Code Security

Authentication and security controls combining physical identity with digital signals.

Scope needs clarification · Filing not verified

Buyer need

IAM and NFC integrators need to attest to an event while collecting less information.

Available evidence

Portfolio descriptions are available, with related NFC software components.

What remains to be demonstrated

One clear definition separating NFC security from behavioural scoring.

STRIA / Dynamic Code Security

Market and alternatives

NXP already sells secure NFC products. The B002 scope remains ambiguous relative to behavioural STRIA and B014.

Potential buyers

Access-control integrators and identity and access management teams

Next fundable milestone

Consolidate the documentation, then validate a specific need with an integrator.

Decision criterion

Do not proceed with broad patent claims yet. Proceed with scope clarification and customer research.

1

Technical evidence

2

Claims review

3

Buyer pilot

4

Licence or assignment

Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

STRIA / Dynamic Code Security

\$5,701 – \$17,105

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$3,421
High scenario	\$10,263

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$1,425
High scenario	\$4,276

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$855
High scenario	\$2,566

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[NXP — DESFire EV3](#)
[Semaphore — zero-knowledge protocol](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

SacredCode Shannon

Experimental tools for information analysis of text sequences.

Documented concept · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Publishers and archives need reliable corpus integrity and normalisation.

Available evidence

Text-formalisation research is available; no specific product has been validated in this review.

What remains to be demonstrated

A distinct technical benefit and a comparative test using an authorised corpus.

SacredCode Shannon

Market and alternatives

Unicode normalisation is already standardised. Entropy alone does not replace a cryptographic hash.

Potential buyers


Libraries, publishers and digital archives

Next fundable milestone

Validate a document-integrity use case and its value to a publisher.

Decision criterion

Proceed with corpus-related services. Revisit patent protection after establishing a technical result.



Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

SacredCode Shannon

\$3,421 – \$9,123

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$2,053
High scenario	\$5,702

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$855
High scenario	\$2,053

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$513
High scenario	\$1,368

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Unicode — normalisation standard UAX #15](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

Kavanah / MATBG

Research into manufacturing and using advanced materials for computing.

Exploratory research · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Two-dimensional materials laboratories need reproducible assembly and measurement.

Available evidence

A concept dossier exists; no physical implementation was observed.

What remains to be demonstrated

Feasibility, reproducibility and access to a laboratory partner.

Kavanah / MATBG

Market and alternatives

Cao et al. demonstrated magic-angle graphene physics in 2018. Bruker sells tools for twist-angle metrology.

Potential buyers

Materials laboratories and specialist manufacturers

Next fundable milestone

Obtain an independent scientific review and a costed experimental protocol.

Decision criterion

Proceed with scientific review. Do not make industrial performance commitments.



Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

Kavanah / MATBG

\$5,701 – \$17,105

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$3,421
High scenario	\$10,263

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$1,425
High scenario	\$4,276

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$855
High scenario	\$2,566

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Cao et al. — magic-angle graphene, 2018](#)

[Bruker — Dimension 2D AFM](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

LIA

Detection of security anomalies in networks and digital systems.

Software prototype · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Security and industrial operations teams need useful anomaly detection with fewer false alerts.

Available evidence

Python and MLX components are present for ingestion, analysis and alerting.

What remains to be demonstrated

A trained model, clearly licensed data and measured false-positive rates.

LIA

Market and alternatives

Graph neural networks for multivariate time-series anomaly detection were published in 2021. Darktrace also uses anomaly detection and graph-based analysis.

Potential buyers

Security operations centres and observability providers

Next fundable milestone

Compare detection against a baseline using labelled data.

Decision criterion

Proceed with a pilot in a test environment. Production use requires validation.



Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

LIA

\$13,683 – \$34,209

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$8,210
High scenario	\$21,666

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$3,763
High scenario	\$9,122

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,710
High scenario	\$3,421

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Deng and Hooi — GNN anomaly detection, 2021](#)

[Darktrace — Cyber AI](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

TerraMind Omnibus

Architecture for coordinating and controlling intelligent systems.

Architecture and components · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Agent infrastructure operators need reliable recovery after failures.

Available evidence

Several software building blocks exist separately.

What remains to be demonstrated

End-to-end integration and reproducible results under failure conditions.

TerraMind Omnibus

Market and alternatives

Temporal and LangGraph already provide durable execution. Directed acyclic graphs and verifiable delay functions are established building blocks.

Potential buyers

AI research and infrastructure teams

Next fundable milestone

Validate one orchestration scenario from start to finish.

Decision criterion

Proceed with a focused prototype. Do not present a complete integrated system as established.

1	2	3	4
Technical evidence	Claims review	Buyer pilot	Licence or assignment

Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

TerraMind Omnibus

\$17,104 – \$39,911

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$10,263
High scenario	\$25,087

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$5,131
High scenario	\$11,403

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,710
High scenario	\$3,421

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Temporal — durable execution](#)

[LangChain — agent runtime, April 2026](#)

[Chia — consensus and proof of time](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

Evolutrix

Diversification of compiled programs to make software targets less predictable.

Rust prototype · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Vendors of sensitive software want to increase the effort required for reverse engineering.

Available evidence

A Rust crate contains program-transformation functions.

What remains to be demonstrated

Integration with a real compiler and preservation of program behaviour.

Evolutrix

Market and alternatives

iXGuard advertises polymorphic obfuscation and distinct builds.

Potential buyers


Software vendors and build-toolchain owners

Next fundable milestone

Demonstrate compatibility on representative applications with external review.

Decision criterion

Proceed with a technical pilot. Licensing requires security validation.



Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

Evolutrix

\$17,104 – \$39,911

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$10,263
High scenario	\$25,087

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$5,131
High scenario	\$11,403

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,710
High scenario	\$3,421

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Guardsquare — iXGuard](#)

[Microsoft — Ironclad formal verification](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

Antimatrix

Control and traceability of actions and outputs from AI systems.

Partial Rust prototype · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Companies deploying agents need auditable controls before actions take effect.

Available evidence

A rule engine, restriction levels and logging are present.

What remains to be demonstrated

Independent robustness evidence and the additional cryptographic functions described in the documentation.

Antimatrix

Market and alternatives

NeMo Guardrails supports programmable controls. Microsoft Ironclad illustrates existing formal-verification work.

Potential buyers

Companies deploying agents that can take real-world actions

Next fundable milestone

Measure false blocks, detected incidents and operational overhead.

Decision criterion

Proceed with a controls-and-logging pilot. No general compliance guarantee is offered.



Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

Antimatrix

\$13,683 – \$31,928

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$8,210
High scenario	\$20,525

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$3,763
High scenario	\$7,982

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,710
High scenario	\$3,421

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[NVIDIA — NeMo Guardrails](#)

[Microsoft — Ironclad formal verification](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

Tzimtzum / NovaKey

Authentication intended to reduce disclosure of personal information.

Prototype with simulation · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Identity-management teams need privacy-preserving authentication using physical hardware.

Available evidence

Source code and a specification exist; the cryptographic proof is simulated.

What remains to be demonstrated

A real cryptographic implementation, security review and hardware validation.

Tzimtzum / NovaKey

Market and alternatives

Semaphore already provides Merkle-set membership and nullifiers. Secure DESFire NFC products also exist.

Potential buyers

Identity providers and hardware-token integrators

Next fundable milestone

Obtain a cryptographic review followed by a verified hardware prototype.

Decision criterion

Proceed with research validation. The current prototype is not suitable for production authentication.



Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

Tzimtzum / NovaKey

\$20,525 – \$45,612

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$12,315
High scenario	\$29,648

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$6,500
High scenario	\$12,543

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,710
High scenario	\$3,421

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Semaphore — zero-knowledge protocol](#)

[NXP — DESFire EV3](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

Neuro-Halakha

Exploratory research into adapting interfaces to user rhythms and signals.

Exploratory concept · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Chronobiology and EEG laboratories may explore voluntary, non-clinical uses.

Available evidence

The concept is described in the portfolio; no human validation was observed.

What remains to be demonstrated

Feasibility, safety and an independent evaluation protocol.

Neuro-Halakha

Market and alternatives

Chronotype and cognition have been studied. Emotiv provides EEG hardware and development tools.

Potential buyers

Human–computer interaction laboratories

Next fundable milestone

Assess scientific relevance and the constraints of an initial study.

Decision criterion

Proceed with scientific assessment. Do not make health-benefit claims.



Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

Neuro-Halakha

\$5,701 – \$17,105

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$3,421
High scenario	\$10,263

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$1,425
High scenario	\$4,276

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$855
High scenario	\$2,566

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Chronotype and cognition — 2021 study](#)

[Emotiv — EEG developer tools](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

Petek Protocol

Exploratory hypothesis connecting information processing with physical systems.

Exploratory hypothesis · Filing not verified

Buyer need

No specific buyer has been established for the proposed bio-quantum hypothesis.

Available evidence

A concept description is available.

What remains to be demonstrated

A testable physical hypothesis and reproducible validation.

Petek Protocol

Market and alternatives

NIST describes quantum sensors that measure clearly defined physical quantities.

Potential buyers


Interdisciplinary research laboratories

Next fundable milestone

Have an independent expert assess feasibility.

Decision criterion

Do not pursue an industrial licence at this stage.



Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

Petek Protocol

\$1,140 – \$3,420

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$684
High scenario	\$1,140

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$114
High scenario	\$1,710

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$342
High scenario	\$570

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[NIST — quantum sensing](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyreals.com

DreamOS V5

Computing environment intended to adapt to the user's context.

Architecture concept · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Adaptive-interface vendors need to improve a specific interaction.

Available evidence

Portfolio documentation exists; a specific product has not been established in this review.

What remains to be demonstrated

An isolated useful function and a measured improvement in use.

DreamOS V5

Market and alternatives

Existing EEG development kits and agent runtimes mean that the differentiation of this combination still needs to be defined.

Potential buyers

Productivity-tool vendors and software studios

Next fundable milestone

Test a precise user need with a limited prototype.

Decision criterion

Proceed with product discovery. Broad patent claims are not justified by the current evidence.



Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

DreamOS V5

\$9,122 – \$20,525

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$5,473
High scenario	\$12,543

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$2,281
High scenario	\$4,903

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,368
High scenario	\$3,079

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Emotiv — EEG developer tools](#)

[LangChain — agent runtime, April 2026](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

AETHER-Q

Exploratory research into physical detection methods.

Early exploratory research · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Sensing laboratories are potential research partners; an industrial need remains to be defined.

Available evidence

A concept dossier exists; no physical device was observed.

What remains to be demonstrated

Scientific validation and a defined use case.

AETHER-Q

Market and alternatives

Real quantum sensors have defined observables and explicit noise budgets.

Potential buyers


Sensing laboratories and specialist industrial partners

Next fundable milestone

Commission an expert feasibility review before development.

Decision criterion

Do not announce equipment performance or sales at this stage.



Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

AETHER-Q

\$3,421 – \$11,403

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$2,053
High scenario	\$6,842

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$855
High scenario	\$2,851

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$513
High scenario	\$1,710

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[NIST — quantum sensing](#)

[WIPO — national-security restrictions](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

DCS DreamAI

Measuring and supporting consistency between stated goals and observed actions.

Documentation and partial demonstration · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Voluntary coaching products need to connect goals with observable actions.

Available evidence

Historical scaffolds and demonstration materials are available.

What remains to be demonstrated

Separation from B002, measurement validity and usefulness to the user.

DCS DreamAI

Market and alternatives

Adaptive interfaces and agent memory already exist. A text-derived score does not by itself measure behavioural consistency.

Potential buyers

Voluntary coaching platforms and product teams

Next fundable milestone

Test a non-medical use case with consent and measures of usefulness.

Decision criterion

Proceed with product research. No clinical promise or validated score is claimed.



Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

DCS DreamAI

\$6,841 – \$15,965

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$4,105
High scenario	\$10,263

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$1,710
High scenario	\$3,307

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,026
High scenario	\$2,395

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[LangChain — agent runtime, April 2026](#)

[Chronotype and cognition — 2021 study](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

NovaVoice

Responsive voice interfaces for human–machine interaction.

Integration requiring benchmarking · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Voice-service operators need lower call latency and operating costs.

Available evidence

Voice components exist; full-system performance has not been verified in this review.

What remains to be demonstrated

Real-world measurements and differentiation from existing platforms.

NovaVoice

Market and alternatives

Retell provides a real-time voice stack and publishes changes to its latency performance.

Potential buyers

Contact centres and businesses with repetitive inbound calls

Next fundable milestone

Deliver an inbound-call pilot measuring resolution rates and latency.

Decision criterion

Proceed with integration services. IP licensing requires proven differentiation.

1

Technical evidence

2

Claims review

3

Buyer pilot

4

Licence or assignment

Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

NovaVoice

\$9,122 – \$22,806

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$5,473
High scenario	\$14,824

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$2,281
High scenario	\$4,561

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,368
High scenario	\$3,421

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Retell — platform changelog](#).

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

Acoustic levitation

Manipulating objects without contact using acoustic fields.

Physical concept · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Laboratories need contactless handling of small components.

Available evidence

A concept dossier exists; no experimental bench was found.

What remains to be demonstrated

A prototype and measurements of stability, energy use and safety.

Acoustic levitation

Market and alternatives

Marzo et al. described holographic acoustic traps and rotation in 2015.

Potential buyers


Laboratory-equipment vendors and precision manufacturers

Next fundable milestone

Have a technical partner design and validate an initial test bench.

Decision criterion

Proceed with experimental feasibility work. Do not present an industrial device as available.



Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

Acoustic levitation

\$9,122 – \$22,806

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$5,473
High scenario	\$14,824

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$2,281
High scenario	\$4,561

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,368
High scenario	\$3,421

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Marzo et al. — acoustic levitation, 2015](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

Etz Chaim

Coordination, supervision and traceability of software-agent teams.

Existing local system · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Agent operators need orchestration with fewer failures and lower costs.

Available evidence

Agent-identification and coordination tools are present and in use.

What remains to be demonstrated

Technical differentiation and measured savings across several teams.

Etz Chaim

Market and alternatives

AutoGen, LangGraph and Temporal already cover agent coordination and durable execution.

Potential buyers

Small teams running several software agents

Next fundable milestone

Compare task completion, rework and costs before and after a pilot.

Decision criterion

Proceed with an audit and installation service. Explore a combination of software-protection options.

1

Technical evidence

2

Claims review

3

Buyer pilot

4

Licence or assignment

Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

Etz Chaim

\$6,841 – \$17,105

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$4,105
High scenario	\$10,263

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$1,710
High scenario	\$4,276

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,026
High scenario	\$2,566

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Microsoft — AutoGen](#)
[LangChain — agent runtime, April 2026](#)
[Temporal — durable execution](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

Helios Router

Research intended to improve light capture for photovoltaic systems.

Concept and diligence dossier · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Solar-cell and film manufacturers need higher net energy yield relative to area and cost.

Available evidence

A scaffold is available; no integrated prototype was observed.

What remains to be demonstrated

Independent simulation, a prototype and comparative efficiency measurements.

Helios Router

Market and alternatives

Schubert’s 2024 preprint, followed by a 2025 article, describes angle-tolerant lateral spectral splitting.

Potential buyers

Photovoltaics laboratories and component manufacturers

Next fundable milestone

Obtain a scientific review and independently validated simulation results.

Decision criterion

Proceed with feasibility work. Do not claim photovoltaic performance as demonstrated.



Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

Helios Router

\$6,841 – \$18,245

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$4,105
High scenario	\$11,403

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$1,710
High scenario	\$4,105

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,026
High scenario	\$2,737

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Schubert et al. — spectral splitter, 2024 preprint](#)
[FAU — Schubert publication, 2025](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

Adaptive Mirror DJ

An adaptive music experience linked to the user's movement and context.

Partial functional demonstrator · Filing not verified

Buyer need

DJ-software vendors need gesture-based interaction without perceptible delay.

Available evidence

The interface and audio demonstration were checked in the 24 September review.

What remains to be demonstrated

The claimed sensor-to-music loop and the rights needed to exploit music.

Adaptive Mirror DJ

Market and alternatives

Algoriddim sells gesture-based control and publishes a register of its patents. Older public prior art: gesture DJ mixing from 2009–2010 (Wearable DJ, Mmmmm/NIME). The fundable difference is not “the first gesture DJ”.

Potential buyers

Fitness studios and connected-equipment manufacturers

Next fundable milestone

Measure the complete experience and validate music rights.

Decision criterion

Proceed with an experimental pilot. Licensing requires technical validation and cleared music rights.



Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

Adaptive Mirror DJ

\$11,403 – \$28,508

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$6,842
High scenario	\$18,245

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$2,851
High scenario	\$6,842

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,710
High scenario	\$3,421

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

- [Algoriddim — gesture control](#)
- [Algoriddim — published patent list](#)
- [Algoriddim djay gesture control, 9 December 2020](#)
- [Savage et al., Mmmmm, NIME 2010](#)
- [Tomibayashi et al., Wearable DJ, ACE 2009](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

Nova-Physarum

Auxiliary memory intended to reduce unproductive repetition in software agents.

Prepared specification · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Agent developers need to prevent costly repetition loops.

Available evidence

A specification and scaffold are available; a comparative MVP has not been established.

What remains to be demonstrated

A net reduction in loops without reducing successful task completion.

Nova-Physarum

Market and alternatives

Reflexion uses feedback memory; LangGraph provides persistent memory.

Potential buyers

Teams operating development and support agents

Next fundable milestone

Compare success rates, cost and false blocks using test traces.

Decision criterion

Proceed with a measured prototype. No claim of eliminating every loop is made.

1	2	3	4
Technical evidence	Claims review	Buyer pilot	Licence or assignment

Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

Nova-Physarum

\$5,701 – \$13,684

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$3,421
High scenario	\$7,982

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$1,425
High scenario	\$3,649

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$855
High scenario	\$2,053

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Shinn et al. — Reflexion, 2023](#)

[LangChain — agent runtime, April 2026](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

ORIA / Or

Finding and reconciling resources scattered across a digital working environment.

Active local service · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Multi-project teams need to identify the correct source and production deployment.

Available evidence

The local API was used during this audit; source code and documentation are available.

What remains to be demonstrated

Reliable identification of the live production version and repeatable customer installation.

ORIA / Or

Market and alternatives

Glean provides connected search with permission controls.

Potential buyers


Studios and teams managing multiple projects and accounts

Next fundable milestone

Measure mapping accuracy and time saved when finding a resource.

Decision criterion

Proceed with audit and installation services. Licensing follows a reproducible pilot.



Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

ORIA / Or

\$6,841 – \$17,105

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$4,105
High scenario	\$10,263

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$1,710
High scenario	\$4,276

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,026
High scenario	\$2,566

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Glean — data connectors](#)

[Temporal — durable execution](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

Nova Command

Controlling a software working environment remotely through messaging.

Operational code requiring qualification · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Operators need to control agents through messaging without duplicate execution.

Available evidence

A messaging gateway and a draft dossier are available.

What remains to be demonstrated

Authorisation review, incident recovery and repeatability in customer environments.

Nova Command

Market and alternatives

Agent runtimes and durable execution platforms are already available.

Potential buyers

Independent operators and small studios

Next fundable milestone

Validate a full scenario on an isolated machine with a security review.

Decision criterion

Proceed with a supervised installation service. No patent acquisition is assumed.



Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

Nova Command

\$6,841 – \$17,105

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$4,105
High scenario	\$10,263

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$1,710
High scenario	\$4,276

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,026
High scenario	\$2,566

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[LangChain — agent runtime, April 2026](#)

[Temporal — durable execution](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

DAG Ledger / PoSH / VDF

Distributed ledger and transaction-coordination mechanisms.

Rust prototype · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Distributed-ledger operators need verifiable ordering and resistance to attacks.

Available evidence

Ledger and time-verification crates are available.

What remains to be demonstrated

Safety under faults and adversarial conditions, with reproducible multi-node results.

DAG Ledger / PoSH / VDF

Market and alternatives

Kaspa blockDAG and Chia verifiable delay functions are existing examples of these classes of primitives.

Potential buyers

Consortia and settlement or tokenisation teams

Next fundable milestone

Have invariants and test-network traces audited.

Decision criterion

Proceed with a technical study. Do not operate a commercial network without an audit.



Proposed decision path; no stage is assumed to be complete.

Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

DAG Ledger / PoSH / VDF

\$17,104 – \$39,911

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$10,263
High scenario	\$25,087

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$5,131
High scenario	\$11,403

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,710
High scenario	\$3,421

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Kaspa — blockDAG](#)

[Chia — consensus and proof of time](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com

FHE Internet Gates

Processing sensitive information while limiting exposure of the underlying data.

Architecture idea · Filing not verified

Buyer need

Confidential applications need computation and access control without exposing plaintext data.

Available evidence

A strategy note exists; no corresponding FHE prototype was identified.

What remains to be demonstrated

A precise customer need, an explicit trust model and acceptable computing costs.

FHE Internet Gates

Market and alternatives

Zama fhEVM provides fully homomorphic computation and access control for encrypted state.

Potential buyers


Companies holding sensitive data across several organisations

Next fundable milestone

Qualify one use case and commission an independent feasibility study.

Decision criterion

Proceed with market discovery. A licensable technology asset has not yet been demonstrated.



Targeted, non-exhaustive research. Commercial conclusions are hypotheses. These sources establish no acquisition interest in DreamNova.

Validation budget

FHE Internet Gates

\$17,104 – \$39,911

30 to 60 days, initial feasibility

Planning assumptions, not quotations or valuations. The bounds are two spending scenarios, not a statistical confidence interval. Further investment depends on milestone results.

Engineering

Low scenario	\$10,263
High scenario	\$25,087

Computing, hardware or external laboratory

Low scenario	\$5,131
High scenario	\$11,403

Preliminary IP review

Low scenario	\$1,710
High scenario	\$3,421

Planning assumption, not a quotation or an asset valuation. Includes preliminary IP review; excludes drafting and filing, a full freedom-to-operate assessment, tax, industrialisation and regulatory studies. Deduct any services that overlap with a future filing quotation.

Public sources

[Zama — fhEVM](#)

Planning amounts are expressed in US dollars using the ECB reference rates dated 25 September 2026. These are indicative conversions, not bank transaction rates or supplier quotations.

Contact · admin@holyrentals.com